# Chemical endetweet mechanisms in Omega Centauri cittes from neutron-capture clement



V. D'Orazi (INAF –Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova)



R. Gratton, S.Lucatello (INAF –Padova) A.Bragaglia, E.Carretta, E.Pancino (INAF –Bologna) C.Sneden (University of Texas at Austin)



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Santa Cruz, 12 July 2011

"A Simple Stellar Population is defined as an assembly of <u>coeval</u>, <u>initially chemically homogeneous</u>, <u>single stars</u>.. Four main parameters are required to describe a SSP, namely its age, composition (Y,Z), and the initial mass function ..**In nature the best example of SSPs are stellar clusters**" (Renzini & Buzzoni 1986).



**Globular Clusters ARE NOT Simple Stellar Populations** 



#### Since '70s anti-correlations between light elements





Cohen (1978); Peterson (1980); Norris (1981)









P=primordial FG (share the same chemical composiiton of field stars with same [Fe/H])

I=Intermediate SG

E=Extreme SG

(high Na, low O)

All the GCs show the Na-O anti-correlation

→ the second generation is always PRESENT A PREVIOUS GENERATION of stars which synthesized in their interiors p-capture elements are RESPONSIBLE for these chemical signatures in GC stars

HOT hydrogen burning, where the ON, NeNa, and MgAl chains are operating - the ON reduces O, the NeNa increases Na (T ~ 30 million K), while the MgAl produces Al (T~65 million K)

### Still debated.....

 IM-AGB stars (4 – 8 M☉) experiencing Hot Bottom Burning (e.g., Ventura+ 2001)

> Winds of Fast Rotating Massive Stars (e.g., Decressin+ 2007)

✓GCs are homogeneous concerning Fe-peak and the heavy alpha-elements
 (e.g. Ca, Ti)

✓ Light element variations (CH-CN, O-Na, Mg-AI)

✓ Heavy elements (Z>30) →

little star-to-star variation within GCs (Armosky+ 1994; James+ 2004)

Analysing a sample of ~1000 RGB GC stars (in 15 GCs) we found that [Ba/Fe] does not significantly vary (D'Orazi+ 2010)

see Roederer (2011) for variation in r-process elements

## A "typical" GC: NGC 6752



Shen+2010

0.5

#### However.....

NGC 7078 (M15)  $\rightarrow$  variation in r-process elements  $\delta[Eu/Fe]\sim 0.5 \text{ dex}$  (Sneden+1997; Sobeck+2011)

NGC 6656 (M22)  $\rightarrow \delta$ [Fe/H]~0.15 dex positively correlated with  $\delta$ [Ba,Y,Zr/Fe]~0.4 dex (e.g., Marino+2009)

NGC 1851  $\rightarrow$  variation in  $\delta$ [Fe/H] from 0.06 to 0.25 (Carretta+2010a; Yong & Grundahl 2008)  $\delta$ [Ba/Fe] from ~0.6 dex to > 1 dex (Carretta+2011; Villanova+2011; Yong & Grundahl 2008)

NGC 6715 (M54, Sgr dSph)  $\rightarrow \delta$ [Fe/H] = 0.19 dex (Carretta+ 2010b)

## NGC 5139 (Omega Centauri)

The most massive GC of our Galaxy: (~2.5x10<sup>6</sup> M☉, van de Ven+2006)

Photometric investigations:

(e.g. Wooleey 1966; Anderson 1997;Pancino+2000; Bedin +2004; Sollima+2005) High-resolution spectroscopic study:

Norris & Da Costa (1995); Smith +(2000); Johnson & Pilachowski (2010); Marino+(2011)



#### Johnson & Pilachowski (2010) more than 800 RGB stars

- 1. [Fe/H] variation from  $\sim$ -2 to  $\sim$ -0.6
- 2. alpha-elements + Fe-peak match the SN II abundance pattern
- 3. Variation in O, Na, Al > 0.5 dex

(anti-correlated abundances, with the exception of the most metal-rich stars)

- 4. No significant contribution from SN Ia
- 5. Strong increase in [La/Fe] vs [Fe/H]
  (not accompained by similar trend for [Eu/Fe]
  → low mass AGB contributions
- $\rightarrow$  low-mass AGB contributions





# The sample

Leiden	ROA	V	B-V	K	Teff	logg	[Fe/H]	ξ
					(K)			(km s <sup>-1</sup> )
16015	213	12.127	1.122	9.210	4510	1.05	-1.92	2.00
37247	238	12.430	1.163	9.363	4385	1.05	-1.88	1.75
33011	159	11.879	1.337	8.715	4305	0.80	-1.75	2.05
41039	256	12.251	1.230	9.190	4375	1.00	-1.73	1.95
46092	92	11.830	1.571	8.128	3990	0.45	-1.45	2.10
34029	243	12.107	1.452	8.719	4170	0.80	-1.28	1.90
44462	321	12.559	1.403	8.942	4040	0.90	-1.18	1.65
60066	2118	13.086	1.253	10.330	4640	1.75	-0.98	1.55
60073	211	12.266	1.613	8.525	3985	0.75	-0.82	2.00
34180	517	13.030	1.503	9.329	4015	1.05	-0.79	1.95
48323	500	13.081	1.461	9.273	3945	0.65	-0.73	2.00
54022	2594	13.360	1.412	9.910	4135	1.15	-0.46	1.80

Table 1. Identification, photometry and adopted parameters for our program stars (see JP10).

# 12 RGB stars Spanning a range in metallicity from [Fe/H]=-1.92 to [Fe/H]=-0.46 UVES@VLT spectra (R~45,000) λ=3600 – 4600 Å and for two stars

also  $\lambda$ =5400 – 8900 Å

D'Orazi+ (2011, subm.to A&A)

Pb, Y, Zr, La, Ce, Eu, and the C+N+O sum

## Analysis







#### We detected the hint for a Pb production occurring at [Fe/H]~-1.7 dex







At variance with Smith+(2000) We found that the variation of light s-process (first-peak) elements, i.e. Y, Zr is LARGER than the one of heavy (second-peak), here La, Ce.

We have to discard the most metal-poor stars, since they have a mostly r-process nucleosynthesis

Δ [<Y,Zr>/Fe]=0.7 dex Δ[<La,Ce>/Fe]=0.5 dex

## The C+N+O sum







Weak somponent

1) Pb production

2) [Cu/Fe] costant as a function of [Fe/H] (e.g., Cunha+ 2002)

3) Theoretical studies also argue

that at lower metallicities the weak component can not produce significant amounts of elements heavier than Zr

see Raiteri+ (1992); Pignatari & Gallino (2008)

We agree with the Smith's conclusion that low-mass AGB (main component) are responsible for the s-process element production in Omega Cen..



#### However.....

Smith+(2000) concluded that ~ 1.5 Msun AGB model better reproduce the observed pattern

 $\rightarrow$  >1Gyr difference between the different stellar generations in Omega Cen

Our results:

- More production of light s-process elements with respect to the heavy ones
- Pb production but NOT as larger as the one of light s-process elements

 $\rightarrow$  neutron exposures quite small, few thermal pulses : LARGER MASS (!!!) we suggest the main component is peculiar in this GC and slanted towards the higher mass, i.e. about 3.5/4 Msun.

**Drastic reduction in the age difference from >1 Gyr to several Myrs**