Globular cluster contributions to the assembly of the Galactic halo Sarah Martell ARI, University of Heidelberg

In collaboration with Eva Grebel (ARI) Jason Smolinski, Tim Beers (Michigan State) Matthew Shetrone (McDonald Observatory) David Lai (UCSC)

Big-picture goal

- Understand the role of in situ star formation in Galactic halo construction
- "All stars form in clusters"
 - Globular clusters were a site of very strong star formation ~12 Gyr ago
- Clusters lose stars in several ways
 - Early: residual gas loss
 - Baumgardt et al. 2008
 - Persistent: tidal effects, 2-body interactions,...



Globular cluster dissolution in progress

- Palomar 5
 - Known to have low density, significant mass segregation
 - Known to have CN variations
 - Smith 1985

- Large tidal tails found in SDSS star counts, matched-filter photometry
 - Odenkirchen et al 2001, Rockosi et al 2002



The Globular Cluster-Galaxy Connection



Globular cluster dissolution in progress

- NGC 5466
 - Low mass, relatively small
 r_{gc}
 - Tidal tails found through matched-filter photometry





GD-1

- Stream of stars found first through SDSS star counts
- Fairly uniform • metallicity, small width: disrupted globular cluster?



More specifically

• Identify stars in the halo that originally formed in globular clusters, using their light-element abundances

- SEGUE-I: Spectroscopic extension of the SDSS, targeted at specific stellar populations
 - Low-metallicity stars
 - BHB stars
 - G, K dwarfs
 - K giants
- 640-fiber spectrograph, 7 deg² field of view, R~2000, broad wavelength coverage
- 240,000 stars

SEGUE imaging blue, SDSS orange



- Data accessible via online SQL query
 - Flux-calibrated spectra, photometry, stellar parameters and abundances from the SSPP (Lee et al. 2008)
- Selected a piece of parameter space:
 - [Fe/H] < -1.0
 - S/N (4000-4100Å) > 15
 - $\log(g) < 4.0$
 - $(g-r)_0 > 0.2$
 - 22784 stars

- Trim down to 5066 RGB stars
 - Divide into 0.2-dexwide bins in [Fe/H], $\overset{\odot}{\underline{\Box}}_{3.0}^{2.5}$ draw fiducials, remove stars > 3 σ 3.5 away 4.0
 - Lower log(g) limit
 - Remove carbon stars
- Cut further to 1958 stars
 - -1.8 < [Fe/H] < -1.0







• Select stars with

relatively strong CN, weak CH

- 49 of 1958 (2.5%)
- Implication: GCs matter for halo formation



Same search, new data: SEGUE-2

- Same spectrograph, new goals
 - Fewer, more distant stars
 - More focus on the halo
 - 120,000 new spectra

Same search, new data: SEGUE-2

- Selected 2019 SEGUE-2 stars:
 - -1.8 < [Fe/H] < -1.0
 - mean S/N > 20
 - $\log(g) < 3.0$
 - $(g-r)_0 > 0.2$
- Trim down to 561 RGB stars
 - Divide into 0.2-dex-wide bins in [Fe/H], draw fiducial sequence, remove stars > 3σ away
 - Blue S/N > 15
 - Remove carbon stars
 - $M_V < +1.5$



 Similar result: 16 stars (3%) are CN-strong

Implications for halo formation

- Present-day globular clusters are 50/50 CN-strong and CN-weak
 - So for every CN-strong halo star, there must be one CN-weak star in the halo that came from the same cluster of origin
- 5% of the stellar halo (in mass) is equivalent to 100 present-day globular clusters (at 5x10⁵M_☉ each)
 - Not unreasonable: Mackey & van den Bergh estimate that the present-day GC population is 2/3 of its initial number (whereas we find 3/5)

July 13, 2011

Implications for halo formation

- The 2.5% of CN-strong halo stars can be extrapolated to 17%+ of halo stars that originally formed in globular clusters
 - All of the stars from completely dissolved clusters
 - 90% of the first-generation stars from surviving clusters
 - Mainly CN-normal stars

High-resolution followup

• Measuring O, Na, Mg, Al abundances to confirm that CN-strong field giants carry the full globular cluster abundance pattern



High-resolution followup

• Measuring O, Na, Mg, Al abundances to confirm that CN-strong field giants carry the full globular cluster abundance pattern

[AI/Fe]

- Preliminary: 24 CN-strong stars plus 16 CN-normal "control" stars already observed at HET, plus 5 at Keck
- 5 analyzed, behave as we expect





More CN-strong field stars



July 13, 2011





Next step: LMC/Sgr clusters

- Intermediate-age populous clusters
 - From high-resolution spectroscopic studies in LMC clusters: O-Na anticorrelation only in old globulars, not in intermediate-age clusters (Mucciarelli et al. 2008; 2009)
 - Caution: small sample size
 - Photometry at turn-off is broad/bimodal for several clusters: multiple populations?
 - Sgr clusters have unusual iron-peak abundances, few light-element abundance studies in literature

Next step: LMC/Sgr clusters

- Intermediate-age populous clusters
 - From high-resolution spectroscopic studies in LMC clusters: O-Na anticorrelation only in old globulars, not in intermediate-age clusters (Mucciarelli et al. 2008; 2009)



Next step: LMC clusters + Ter 7

- Take low-resolution (VLT/FORS2) spectra of 20-30 RGB stars per cluster
- Look for CN-CH anticorrelation
- Look at effects of large-scale environment on cluster selfenrichment

