AY257: Modern Observational Techniques

- This class is about
 - astronomical data and data reduction techniques
 - observing proposals, observing planning,
 - efficient observing
 - instrumentation as it relates to the above
- Working through the homework problems is the best way to learn the material

Data Reduction Tools

- <u>IRAF</u> developed at NOAO soon after digital detectors became widespread
 - Lots of packages, well documented, good control of details, good statistical basis, all-in-one package except for publication-quality plots
- <u>IDL</u> has many well-developed astro-related routines I
- Python has many well-developed astro-related routines 1
- Many observatories maintain data reduction pipelines
- Will use IRAF in many cases to demonstrate the principles of procedures, recommend that you use the Python equivalents

Data Reduction Literature

- Measuring the Universe (Rieke)
- Observational Astrophysics (Lena, Lebrun, Mignard)
- CCDs in Astronomy, ASP Conf Series 8
- Astronomical CCD Observing and Reduction Techniques, ASP Conf Series 23
- Electronic Imaging in Astronomy, Ian McLean

Class Outline

Ι.	Telescopes
II.	S/N calculations
III.	Planning an observing run
a)	Proposal Writing
b	Aircharts
c)	Calibration Frames
d	
IV.	Data Reduction
1. 	
	a. Imaging cameras
	b. Point Sources
	c. Surface Photometry
	d. Star-galaxy separation
	e. calibration

Outline cont.

C	. Spectrometer design
ŀ	. Formats
C	. Extraction
Ċ	. Radial velocity measurements
	. Equivalent width measurements
f	. Indices
iv.	IR (to 10µ)
v.	Radio
vi.	X-ray/gamma-ray astronomy
	Database astronomy

Homeworks

- Homework should be written up carefully using Latex/WORD with embedded figures. Purpose, ``howto'', results.
- Fine to work together, but everyone should do their writeups independently.
- Will need access to a computer with IRAF or Python, Latex or Word, plotting package (e.g. SM, Python) to do the homeworks and writeups.
- Learning to use these packages is a great side benefit of the class.

Telescopes in the last 400 years



The Start: Galileo



- 1608 Hans Lippershey applied for a patent for "seeing things far away as if they were nearby"
- 1609 Galileo built a 1.5cm diameter refracting telescope with 33x magnification and made observations of celestial objects

Galileo's Observations

Obernating Jerniako
2. J. Joris: 1600 marett. 12 0 * *
30. mone ** 0 *
2. x6n: Q** *
3. mon 0 * *
3·Ho.r. *O *
9. mont. *0 **
6. mand * * 0 *
8. mane H.13. # * * 0
10. mape: * * * 0 *
11. * * O *
12. H. quega: * 0 *
17. maye * * * 0 *
14 danie. * * * 0 *

- With his telescope he:
- Had more lightgathering capability and could see fainter objects
- Had higher spatial resolution because of magnification and smaller diffraction limit than the unaided

eye



Diffraction Limit for circular aperture



- Rayleigh Criterion for difractionlimited resolution is when first
 Airy minimum coincides with
 second source maximum
- $\theta_{\rm R} = 1.22\lambda/{\rm D}$ where:
- θ_R is separation of sources in radians, λ is the wavelength of light and D is the diameter of the aperture





Diffraction Limit for circular aperture

$\theta_{\rm R}$ =1.22 λ /D

- For a given primary mirror diameter, the diffraction limit is smaller (i.e. can resolve finer detail) linearly with decreasing wavelength. So, HST images are 2x sharper at 500nm than they are at 1000nm assuming the mirror is diffraction limited at 500nm
- At a given wavelength, the diffraction limit is smaller for a larger mirror: inverse linear relationship. 30m diameter mirror can produce images that are 30/2.4 = 12.5x sharper than HST at wavelengths where the 30m can reach diffraction limit



Size of Hubble eXtreme Deep Field on the Sky



- Human eye: D~5mm
 - $-\theta_{\rm R}$ ~25 arcsec @550nm
- Galileo 1.5 inch = 38mm telescope:
 - $-\theta_{\rm R} \sim 3.2$ arcsec @550nm
- 5-inch telescope: θ_R~1 arcsec
 @550nm
- 10m Keck telescope:
 - $-\theta_{\rm R} \sim 0.012$ arcsec@550nm
- Moon is 30 arcmin in diameter, unaided eye can
 - resolve big craters

Back to Galileo





- Galileo observed imperfections on the surface of the moon and the Sun
- Perhaps most importantly, with the improved spatial resolution of his telescopes, Galileo observed that Venus showed different phases

Refracting telescopes



Lick Observatory Great Refractor 1-m diameter

- Use a combination of glass lenses to focus light to a single focal plane. Compound lenses required to correction chromatic aberrations
- Refractors dominated up through late 1800s
- Limit to size set by mechanical stiffness of glass and requirement to support lenses at perimeter

Photographic Plates and the Universe



- 1896 the 36" Crossley Reflecting Telescope arrived at Lick
 Observatory
- "faster" optics and possibilities of building larger and larger mirrors (can support mirrors from behind)
- Photographic plates allowed long exposures









Telescope mounts

Equatorial (example: Palomar 5m)

<image>

Equatorial only move in RA to track the sky. Alt-Az, move both axes at changing rates to track the sky, and field rotates. Payoff: N-platforms, 1axis gravity deformation.



Alt-Az (example Keck)



What makes telescopes hard to build

- The optics need to be accurate on all spatial scales and stable (gravity vector, temperature, wind) to <10% of the wavelength of light:
 - 0.5μ m is the center of the visible-light spectrum. Human hair has a typical diameter of 50μ m
- The telescope structure needs to be very stiff and rigid to preserve the alignment of the optics and to point the telescope
 - Pointing accuracies and motion smoothness need to be <1²²
 - A highly-optimized 10m steel structure deforms ~ 1mm due to gravity forces, or 20,000 x larger than the optical tolerances
 - A 10m steel structure will deform 120µm for every °C change in temperature
 - It is very common to actively correct some of these errors with focus adjustments that are a function of temperature and pointing corrections that are a function of telescope position. Optics misalignments and nonelastic deformations are harder to correct.

The Trouble with Big Mirrors



- Palomar 5m Pyrex Mirror weighs 14.5 tons and the support structure almost the same
- Surface polished to ~ 50nm precision over 11 years of grinding
- Very difficult to maintain that exquisite figure for different orientations

For glass, deflection δ scales								
with radius (r) and thickness (h)			ð) (
as:								

Moving beyond the 5-m limit



- Palomar 5m was completed in 1949, reigned for 40 years
 In the 1980s, two University of California physicists, Jerry Nelson and Terry Mast, proposed a new approach to building giant mirrors using hexagonal segments that fit together and are controlled very precisely
- Fabrication of off-axis segments
- Edge sensors good to ~5nm
- Actuators good to ~5nm
- Control algorithms

Segmented Mirrors

Upsides of small segments

- Individual segments are cheap: no single-point failure
- Low thermal inertia
- Intrinsic stiffness is high, requirements for support structure relaxed
- Mirror weight is greatly reduced
- Mirror coating vacuum chamber/handling fixturing small
- Scalable technology
- Downsides of segments
 - Polishing of off-axis segments potentially difficult
 - Active control of segments required: in 1980s no position sensors or actuators existed that worked at the required
 - ~5nm precision and no real-time computer codes existed

26

Stressed Mirror Polishing

- Developed by Nelson and Lubliner
 - Tension perimeter of a round blank
 - Polish a sphere
 - Release tension and the mirror is close to right figure
 - Cut to hexagon and improve figure
 - Ion-figure out residual errors
 - Add whiffle-tree passive support and warping harnesses adjusted at telescope
 - For Keck, 36 1.8m segments
 - 1% light loss from gaps, sharp edges





Small details count



Mapping segments onto curved global M1 surface and maintaining uniform gap between segments means the segments get slightly elongated as a function of radial distance

With Keck, small weights were glued to segments to enable the wiffle-tree support structures to be identical for all segments



Edge sensors

- 2 edge sensors per segment edge
- Differential capacitive sensors
- Measures height difference between adjacent segments
- Extreme stability needed (drift rates of ~20nm/ week)



30

• Noise level ~ 1 nm



For TMT optical system, there are ~12,000 degrees of freedom

Displacement

actuators

- 3 actuators per segment control piston tip/tilt
- Actuator range is 1.2 mm
- Motor driven roller screw and hydraulic reducer
- Since there is closed loop control, smoothness is needed but not high level of accuracy
- Actuator smoothness is ~ 4 nm



W.M. Keck Observatory

- Nelson/Mast concept became an observatory via gift from the Keck Foundation to Caltech and partnership between Caltech and the University of California
- "prototype" Keck 1 was a spectacular success
- One attractive aspect to segmented approach was scalability of the concept to even larger primary mirrors





W.M. Keck Observatory



- Twin 10m telescopes
 designed by UC astronomers
 in the 1980s.
- Capital funding from the Keck Foundation via a gift to Caltech (\$180M)
- UC contributed ~\$10M/year operations for equal-share partnership with CIT through 2018
- NASA came in as 1/6th partner as part of the funding of the second telescope


Big Telescope Aperture Advantage Reminder
∧ 1 / · · · 1
Λ

Seeing-limited observations and observations of resolved sources

•

Sensitivity $\propto \eta D^2$ (~14 × 8m)

• Background-limited AO observations of unresolved sources

Sensitivity $\propto \eta S^2 D^4$ (~ 200 × 8m)

Sensitivity = 1/time required to reach a given s/n ratio η = throughput, S = Strehl ratio. D = aperture diameter

The Thirty-Meter Telescope

TMT - Overview



- 30m diameter primary aperture
- "Keck"-style
- 492-closed-pack segments
- Facility AO system for 1st light
- 0.007" resolution at 1 micron with AO
- 20 arcmin-diameter field of view
- Tennis-court-sized Nasmyth platforms
- with articulated tertiary

TMT Science

۲









TMT Science Case: Everything

- Fundamental physics & cosmology
- Early Universe & galaxy formation
- Super massive black-holes
- Exoplanet discovery and characterization
- Nearby-galaxies & Milky-way
- Time-domain science
- Solar-system
- Synergies with GMT
 - All-sky coverage
 - Complementary instrumentation and capabilities







TMT and TIO

- In 2014, former members of the TMT Collaborative Board formed TMT International Observatory LLC (TIO).
- Caltech, Canada, China, India, Japan, University of California (see final slide for official participants)



TMT Partnership



- After many international trips over five years, four countries selected TMT after considering the other ELT projects
 - Canada
 - Japan
 - China
 - India
- Workshare matrix agreed
- Complex partnership agreements in place

Partnership Formation











TMT: Technical Status



- Design is at build stage for telescope, mirrors and control systems, enclosure and summit facilities
- Very rigorous project management procedures and tools in place
- Project has undergone multiple, extensive external reviews for technical readiness, and cost and contingency fidelity



Mauna Kea



- Mauna Kea is one of the
 fay bast sites for astronom
 - few best sites for astronomy research in the world. Certainly the best in the
 - northern hemisphere and within the US
 - altitude
 - dryness
 - stable, cool temperature
 - dark skies
 - very stable air above site

This is the big one--combination of the tropical inversion layer below the summit, topography of the mountain and location in the center of the ocean

Mauna Kea Issues

- Desecration of sacred place
 - Summit formations have religious significance
 - Burial areas and shrines
 - Access for traditional rituals
- Environmental concerns (wekiu bug, chemicals, stewardship problems)
- Continued growth in the last 30 years

Hawaii: short version of a long story

- Maunakea holds a special place in Hawaiian culture and it is a unique and very delicate environment
- Started the permitting process in 2007 with establishing a local presence on the Big Island and consulting with many stakeholders.
- Major issues: environmental stewardship, coexistence with cultural practices, shared economic benefits.
- Telescope site, lease payments to support stewardship of the mountain, greatly enhanced local benefits to Big Island communities are all the outcome of these conversations
- 30 Nov 2018 Hawaii Supreme Court ruled in favor of TMT



TMT site and arrangements

- Roughly 150m below
 the 4205m summit
- Visible from 15% of the coast
- No archeological finds
- Lease payments to support Maunakea stewardship
- STEM and workforce
 pipeline
 development
 programs on the Big
 Island



TMT Approach: Community Benefits

- STEM educational opportunities: THINK Fund
- Workforce Pipeline Development
- Resources for stewardship of Maunakea and OHA benefits
- Total of \$3M annually for lifetime of observatory
- These plans came about via ~15 public meetings and many additional meetings with a broad range of stakeholders over five years
- Majority of Hawaiians (and of Native Hawaiians) support TMT, but not everyone



The European Extremely Large Telescope Project Status

Joe Liske E-ELT Science Office



39m diameter5-mirror designArmazones Chile

GMT – Giant Magellan Telescope

- 21.9-m effective aperture
 - 7 mirrors / 8.4-m
 - 20' FoV
- Early science mid-2019
 - With 4 mirrors
- All 7 mirrors and AO ~2022
- Located at Las Campanas
- First instruments
 - Optical MOS
 - Optical Echelle
 - Near-IR AO IFU/Imager
- Queue / Remote / Classical operating modes





GMT

The Aspiration Bi-hemispheric ELT system



Opportunity to significantly broaden U.S. public access to the next generation of ground-based opticalinfrared telescopes



58

Bold leadership for U.S.

- Bi-hemisphere access
- Broad instrument suite
- Key Science Programs
- Open Access, $\geq 25\%$ at **both** facilities



US-ELTP Overview, AAS, Jan 2019 (D4)



TIO and the US-ELTP



The 3rd Revolution in Astronomy: Adaptive Optics



- Theoretical resolution is set by primary mirror diameter and diffraction properties of light
- For telescopes at the surface of the Earth, resolution is set by blurring of the atmosphere to ~1^{''}, equivalent to a 6inch telescope







AO works



- Correction is easier and better for wavelengths >
 - 1μ
- Need to correct at 50Hz or faster
- For 10m, diffraction limit is 0.02" @ 1µ, for 30m it is 0.007"
- For many observations the sensitivity gain scales as D⁴













Keck 1 and 2, Subaru at Mauna Kea (photo credit: Dan Birchall)

Telescope Cities

- Lots of ground-based telescopes around the world.
 - Some have open access, some are limited
 - Some have open access archives, some don't
- Lots of options for use
 - Travel to telescope for "PI-based" nights
 - Remotely access in PI mode
 - Robotic or queue mode
 - Survey mode with access to data or data products




















W.M. Keck Obs control room, Waimea, HI

What makes a good optical/IR site?



- Dark skies
 - Increasingly difficult!
- Clear (no clouds) weather
- High altitude
- Low precipitable water vapor
- Laminar wind flows
- Hawaii, northern Chile, islands off Europe

Radio Telescopes

- As we will talk about later, there are many different types of signals from the Universe.
- Radio telescopes are sensitive to long wavelength electromagnetic radiation
- Surface figure and structure performance requirements much lower





Space Telescopes



- No distortion from the atmosphere (can do widefield high-quality imaging)
- No absorption or emission background from the atmosphere:
 - X-ray telescopes
 - far infrared telescopes
 - gamma-ray telescopes have to be in orbit
- A little pricey, can't always do upgrades



The Space Age











James Webb Space Telescope



- Diffraction limited to 2μ
- Cooled to 30K
- 6.5m deployable mirror
- Launch date: 2010, 2014, 2018, 2020
- Cost: \$1.4B, \$5B, \$8B
- Will make ground-based IR astronomy *almost* obsolete