Observations of the dark and luminous mass profiles of LRG environments

Tomer Tal Yale university

With David Wake, Pieter van Dokkum

BOSS collaboration meeting NYU 01/04/2012

Statistical derivation of LRG properties

- Detect all objects in 500 kpc apertures around each LRG
- Low detection threshold
- Repeat in randomly selected positions within the same SDSS imaging fields



Luminosity function of LRG satellites

 Using SDSS, BOSS, Stripe 82 data we constrained luminosity functions of satellite galaxies around LRGs at z=0.34, z=0.65



Radial distribution of satellites

- Can similarly (statistically) extract other properties of LRG satellites
- Example projected radial distribution
 - Subtract LRG model fit
 - Measure projected distance to all detected objects in LRG-centered apertures
 - Repeat in randomly positioned apertures
 - Subtract contribution of unassociated sources

Radial distribution of satellites



Satellite galaxies as tracers of mass

- Assume that satellites are test particles to trace gravitational potential
- Fit any variation of a projected NFW profile



Stellar light profile





Taken from a stack of >40k LRG images at same redshift

(Tal & van Dokkum 2011)

How much light is in the satellites?

- At r<80 kpc most of the light is in LRG stars (and profile well fitted by a single Sersic model)
- At r>100 kpc most of the light in the environment is in detected satellites



Mass segregation?

- More luminous (massive) satellites are found closer to the LRG (environment center of mass)
- Evidence for mass segregation (through dynamical friction) in galaxy groups



Luminous and dark mass profile



Overall gravitational profile

- Profile well fitted by NFW+Sersic
- Stars dominate potential at r<25 kpc
- DM dominates at large radii



Summary

- Statistical derivation of satellite galaxy properties
- Satellites as tracers of the gravitational potential
- Not well fitted by projected NFW at small radii
 - Better fitted with an additional stellar mass contribution