Mergers and mass growth: observations of the luminosity function of satellite galaxies around LRGs out to z=0.7

arXiv: 1108.1392

Tomer Tal

Yale University

David Wake, Pieter van Dokkum

BOSS collaboration

SDSS-III meeting, Vanderbilt

8/17/2011

The mass growth of massive galaxies

- Star formation rates are low at $0<z<1$

  (e.g., Faber 73, Balogh+04, Worthey+92, Peletier 98, Jørgensen+99, Trager+00, , Kauffmann+03, Hogg+04, Thomas+05)

- At least some growth due to minor mergers

  (e.g., Kormendy+89, Schweizer+92, van Dokkum05, Naab+07,09, Bournaud+07, Stewart+08, Bezanson+09, Tal+09)

- Difficult to quantify frequency and mass ratio



Tal et al. 2009

Environment

- Which galaxies do massive galaxies merge with?
  - What is a typical mass ratio?

- Difficult to identify satellite galaxies of individual environments

- Alternative - observe the average luminosity function of satellite galaxies around massive galaxies

Statistical study of the environment

- Well defined sample → LRGs
- Large statistical sample → SDSS (DR7)+BOSS (CMASS)
- Contamination → Important



Photometry

- Detect all objects in 500 kpc apertures around each LRG
- Low detection threshold
- Repeat in randomly selected positions within the same SDSS imaging fields



The luminosity function of satellite galaxies

- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields



The luminosity function of satellite galaxies

- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields



The luminosity function of satellite galaxies

- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields
- Subtract one from the other



The luminosity function of satellite galaxies

- Measure luminosity distribution in LRG fields
- Also in random fields
- Subtract one from the other

- **Poor fit by just a Schechter function – use two-parameter fits**



Deep stripe 82 images

- Using deep Stripe 82 data we constrained Schechter slope, detection threshold



Gap properties

- Width measurement:

$$\int_{L_2}^{\infty} \Phi(L) d \log L = 1$$

$$\Delta M = 2.5 \log(L_2 / L_{cen}) \approx 1.3 mag$$

at both redshifts

- LRG peak consistent with passive luminosity evolution



Sample selection and the gap

- Gap was noticed in halo mass selected groups
- LRGs typically live in groups
- Can similarly reproduce this by randomly sampling the Schechter distribution

- **Our selection picks environments with a pronounced magnitude gap**



Yang et al. 2008

Sample selection and the gap

- Gap was noticed in halo mass selected groups
- LRGs typically live in groups
- Can similarly reproduce this by randomly sampling the Schechter distribution

- **Our selection picks environments with a pronounced magnitude gap**



The mass growth of LRGs through mergers

- The gap width implies a typical mass ratio of 1:4 between the central galaxy and its most massive satellite
- **Mergers of higher mass ratio within the environment unlikely**



Summary

- Statistical study of the luminosity function of satellite galaxies in LRG environments
- Luminosity gap between the central galaxy and its most luminous satellite
  - Implies that growth through major mergers is unlikely
  - Significant growth (doubling the LRG mass) requires at least 3-4 minor mergers ($M_1/M_2>4$)

Future directions

- Radial distribution of satellite light
- Colors of LRG satellites
- BOSS – red vs. blue centrals

- Other samples, e.g., blue massive centrals
- Higher/lower redshift bins in BOSS/SDSS

- High redshift samples ($1<z<2$) – deep spectroscopic surveys