## Basic Nuclear Physics - 2

## Nuclear Reactions

## Glatzmaier and Krumholz 7,8

Prialnik 4
Pols 6
Clayton 4

## $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L}$

I= Target nucleus $\quad j=$ incident particle
$L=$ Product nucleus $\quad k=$ outgoing particle or particles
If there is no incident particle put the outgoing particles together without a comma
E.g., pp1; the main reaction sequence powering the sun

$$
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{e}^{+} v\right)^{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{3} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, 2 \mathrm{p}\right)^{4} \mathrm{He}
$$

The symbol $\gamma$ in the second reaction is is sort of a catch-all for energy that comes out in forms other than neutrinos, electrons, and positrons. Energy comes out as photons as well as kinetic energy (heat).

A nuclear reaction turns one nucleus to another. We have already discussed several kinds:

Beta decay, electron capture, positron emission
Alpha decay and fission (technically everything heavier iron is unstable)

Neutron or proton drip
http://www.nndc.bnI.gov/wallet/wc8.htm|
Here we expand the discussion to include fusion reactions where two (or rarely more) nuclei come together to produce a third, often with the emission of some lighter particles and energy.

The generic binary fusion reaction is:

$$
I+j \rightarrow L+k \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L}
$$

Here $\beta^{+}=e^{+}$


The cross section for the reaction $I(j, k) L$ is

$$
\sigma_{j k}(I)=\frac{\text { number of reactions } / \text { nucleus } \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{sec}}{\text { number of incident particles } \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}}
$$

$\sigma$ thus has units of $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ though other units of area are sometimes used (typically "barns" are the unit in nuclear physics; 1 barn $=10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ as in "big as a barn")

The reaction rate for the reaction $I(j, k) L$ is given by the product of the number densities of the reactants times their relevant speed and cross section. $\mathrm{n}_{j} \mathrm{v}$ can loosely be thought of as the flux of particles $j$ on a nucleus of species I

$$
n_{I} n_{j} \sigma_{I j} \mathrm{v}
$$

This has units of reactions $\mathrm{cm}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

For a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of reactant energies the average of the cross section times velocity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\sigma_{j k}(I) \mathrm{v}\right\rangle=4 \pi\left(\frac{\mu}{2 \pi k T}\right)^{3 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{j k}(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{v}^{3} e^{-\mu \mathrm{v}^{2} / 2 k T} d \mathrm{v} \\
& \left\langle\sigma_{j k}(I) \mathrm{v}\right\rangle=\left(\frac{8}{\pi \mu}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k T}\right)^{3 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{j k}(E) E e^{-E / k T} d E
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ is the "reduced mass"

$$
\mu=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}}
$$

for the reaction $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{2} \mu \nu^{2}$ is the kinetic energy in the center of mass frame.

It is more convenient to write things in terms of the Y's previously defined

$$
Y_{I}=\frac{X_{I}}{A_{I}} \quad n_{I}=\rho N_{A} Y_{I} \quad\left(\frac{g m}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\text { atoms }}{\text { Mole }}\right)\left(\frac{\text { Mole }}{\mathrm{gm}}\right)
$$

so that the rate becomes

$$
\left(\rho N_{A}\right)^{2} Y_{I} Y_{j} \sigma_{I j} \mathrm{v}
$$

and a term in a rate equation decribing the destruction of I might be

$$
\begin{array}{rl|l}
\frac{d Y_{I}}{d t}= & -\rho Y_{I} Y_{j} N_{A}\left\langle\sigma_{j k}(I) \mathrm{v}\right\rangle+\ldots \\
& =-\rho Y_{I} Y_{j} \lambda_{j k}(I)+\ldots
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Equivalent to } \\
& \frac{d n_{I}}{d t}=-n_{I} n_{j}\left\langle\sigma_{l j} v\right\rangle+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\rangle$ denotes a suitable average over energies and angles and the reactants are usually assumed to be in thermal equilibrium.

For T in $10^{9} \mathrm{~K}=1 \mathrm{GK}, \sigma$ in barns ( 1 barn $=10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ), $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ in MeV , and $k=1 / 11.6045 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{GK}$, the thermally averaged rate factor in $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma_{\mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{v}\right\rangle & =\frac{6.197 \times 10^{-14}}{\hat{\mathrm{~A}}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~T}_{9}^{3 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{j k}\left(E_{6}\right) E_{6} e^{-11.6045 E_{6} / T_{9}} d E_{6} \\
\hat{\mathrm{~A}} & =\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}}} \quad \text { for the reaction } \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

If you know $\sigma_{\mathrm{jk}}$ from the lab, or a calculation, just put it in and integrate. But often we don't know the cross section at all, or know it in a limited energy range or only at a few points. How to estimate, interpolate, extrapolate?

## The Cross Section

$$
\lambda=\frac{\hbar}{p}=\frac{1}{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Area subtended by a } \\
& \text { de Broglie wavelength } \\
& \text { in the } \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{m} \text { system }
\end{aligned}
$$

How much the nucleus I +j looks like the target nucleus I with j sitting at its surface. Liklihood of staying inside R once you get there.
nuclear structure
see Clayton Chapter 4
$\lambda$ is the de Broglie wavelenth in the $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{m}$ system

$$
\pi \hbar^{2}=\frac{\pi \hbar^{2}}{\mu^{2} v^{2}}=\frac{\pi \hbar^{2}}{2 \mu E}=\frac{0.656 \mathrm{barns}}{\hat{\mathrm{~A} E}(\mathrm{MeV})}
$$

where 1 barn $=10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ is large for a nuclear cross section.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\frac{M_{1} M_{2}}{M_{1}+M_{2}} \\
& \hat{A}=\frac{A_{1} A_{2}}{A_{1}+A_{2}} \sim 1 \text { for neutrons and protons on heavy nuclei } \\
& \\
& \quad \sim 4 \text { for } \alpha \text {-particles if } \mathrm{A}_{I} \text { is large, } \gg 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## QM Barrier Penetration

The classical turning radius is given by
energy conservation

$$
\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}=K E=\frac{Z_{1} Z_{2} e^{2}}{r} \Rightarrow r_{\text {classical }}=\frac{2 Z_{1} Z_{2} e^{2}}{m v^{2}}
$$

The De Broglie wavelenth of the particle with mass $m$ is,

$$
\lambda=\frac{\hbar}{p}=\frac{\hbar}{m v}
$$

So the ratio

$$
\frac{r_{\text {classical }}}{\lambda}=\frac{2 Z_{1} Z_{2} e^{2}}{m v^{2}} \frac{m v}{\hbar}=\frac{Z_{1} Z_{2} e^{2}}{\hbar v} \equiv \eta \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { The Sommerfeld } \\
\text { parameter }
\end{gathered}
$$

Which is close to the factor in exponential in the penetration function

$$
\mathrm{P} \sim \exp \left(-r_{\text {classical }} / \lambda\right) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi Z_{1} Z_{2} e^{2}}{\hbar v}\right) \quad \text { note }: r_{\text {classical }} \gg \lambda
$$

Note that as the charges become big or E gets small, P gets very small.

For particles with charge, providing $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E})$ does not vary rapidly. with energy (exception to come), i.e., the nucleus is "structureless"

$$
\sigma(E)=\pi \lambda^{2} P_{l} X(A, E) \propto \frac{e^{-2 \pi \eta}}{E}
$$

This motivates the definition of an "S-factor" which should be $\sim$ constant

$$
S(E)=\sigma(E) E \exp (2 \pi \eta)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=\frac{Z_{I} Z_{i} e^{2}}{\hbar v}=0.1575 Z_{I} Z_{j} \sqrt{\hat{A} / E} \\
& \hat{A}=\frac{A_{I} A_{j}}{A_{I}+A_{j}} \quad \mathrm{E} \text { in } \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

This S-factor should vary slowly with energy. The first order effects of the Coulomb barrier and Compton wavelength have been factored out.

For those reactions in which $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{E})$ is a slowly varying function of energy in the range of interest and can be approximated by its value at the energy where the integrand in the reaction rate formula is a maximum, $E_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(\mathrm{E}) \simeq \frac{S\left(E_{0}\right)}{E} \exp (-2 \pi \eta) \\
N_{A}\langle\sigma v\rangle \approx N_{A}\left(\frac{8}{\pi \mu}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k T}\right)^{3 / 2} S\left(E_{o}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-E / k T-2 \pi \eta(E)) d E \\
\text { where } \eta(E)=\frac{Z_{l} Z_{j} e^{2}}{\hbar v}=0.1575 \sqrt{\hat{A} / E(\mathrm{MeV})} Z_{l} Z_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

The quantity in the integral looks like






For accurate calculations we would just enter the energy variation of $S(E)$ and do the integral numerically. However, Pols 6.2.2 shows that
$\exp \left(\frac{-E}{k T}-2 \pi \eta\right)$ can be replaced to good accuracy by
$C \exp \left(\frac{-\left(E-E_{0}\right)^{2}}{(\Delta / 2)^{2}}\right)$, i.e. a Gaussian


Fhouss 42. Curnes for the Gamow peak for the $p$ t $p$ reaction at $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}=15$, as obtained from the exact uppession and from the approximation using the Gausias function.

Detail: $\quad \exp \left(\frac{-E}{k T}-2 \pi \eta\right) \approx \operatorname{Cexp}\left(\frac{-\left(E-E_{0}\right)^{2}}{(\Delta / 2)^{2}}\right)$

1) Get $E_{0}$ from $\frac{d}{d E} \exp \left(\frac{-E}{k T}-2 \pi \eta\right)=0$
with $\eta=0.1575 \sqrt{\hat{A} / E(\mathrm{MeV})} Z_{I} Z_{j}=\eta_{0} / \sqrt{E(\mathrm{MeV})}$
gives $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\left(\pi \eta_{0} k T\right)^{2 / 3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\pi\left(0.1575 \hat{A}^{1 / 2} Z_{I} Z_{j}\right) k T\right)^{2 / 3} \\
& =0.122\left(Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A} T_{9}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Evaluate C at $\mathrm{E}_{0} \quad \mathrm{C} \exp \left(\frac{-\left(E-E_{0}\right)^{2}}{(\Delta / 2)^{2}}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-E}{k T}-2 \pi \eta\right)_{E_{0}}$
gives $\mathrm{C}=\exp \left(\frac{-E_{0}}{k T}-2 \pi \eta\left(E_{0}\right)\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-3 E_{0}}{k T}\right) \quad$ [Pols 6.2.2]
3) $\Delta$ is determined by matching the $2^{\text {nd }}$ derivatives at $E_{0}$

$$
\text { [Pols 6.2.2] } \quad \Delta=4\left(\frac{E_{0} k T}{3}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

## Summary

We replaced the exponential term with a Gaussian that was analytically integrable. Matching the first and second derivatives of the two functions, the maximum and full width of the Gaussian were determined
The peak is $\mathrm{E}_{o}$, the Gamow Energy. This is the center-o-mass energy where most reactions happen. It is $\gg \mathrm{kT}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{o}=0.122\left(Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A} T_{9}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \mathrm{MeV}
$$

$$
\hat{A}=\frac{A_{l} A_{j}}{A_{l}+A_{j}}
$$

$$
T_{9}=T / 10^{9} \mathrm{~K}
$$

The Gaussian has a full width at $1 / e$ times the maximum. This gives the range of interesting energies, e.g., in which the cross section needs to be measured.

$$
\Delta=\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\left(E_{o} k T\right)^{1 / 2}=0.237\left(Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A} T_{9}^{5}\right)^{1 / 6} \mathrm{MeV}
$$

## Detail:

Then if $\tau=\frac{3 E_{0}}{k T}$

$$
\lambda \approx N_{A}\left(\frac{8}{\mu \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k T}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(E) \exp \left[-\left(\frac{E-E_{0}}{\Delta / 2}\right)^{2}\right] d E
$$

Then if $S(E)$ is constant in the vicinity of $E_{0}$
$\lambda=N_{A}\left(\frac{8}{\mu \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k T}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\tau} S\left(E_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{E-E_{0}}{\Delta / 2}\right)^{2}\right] d E$
$=N_{A}\left(\frac{8}{\mu \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{k T}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-\tau} S\left(E_{0}\right) \quad \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{F W}}{2} \quad\left(\right.$ Pols 6.2 .7 with $\left.\Delta_{1 / 2} \rightarrow \frac{\Delta_{F W}}{2}\right)$
but $\Delta /\left(2(\mathrm{kT})^{3 / 2}\right)=\left(\frac{4}{9 \sqrt{3}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \eta_{0}}\right) \tau^{2}$
So $\lambda=N_{A}\langle\sigma v\rangle=N_{A}\left(\frac{2}{3 \mu}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{8}{9}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \eta_{0}}\right) S\left(E_{o}\right) \tau^{2} e^{-\tau}$

$$
=\frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{\hat{A} Z_{I} Z_{j}} S\left(E_{0}\right) \tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \quad[\text { Pols 6.28] }
$$

## Example

${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \quad$ at $1.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$
$E_{o}=0.122\left(Z_{l}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A} T_{9}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \mathrm{MeV}$
$=0.122\left(2^{2} 2^{2} \frac{9}{6}(0.015)^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=0.0214 \mathrm{MeV}=21.4 \mathrm{keV}$
$\Delta=0.237\left(Z_{l}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A} T_{9}^{5}\right)^{1 / 6} \mathrm{MeV}$
$=0.237\left(2^{2} 2^{2} \frac{9}{6}(0.015)^{5}\right)^{1 / 6}=0.0122 \mathrm{MeV}=12.2 \mathrm{keV}$
The relevant energy range in which we need to know $S(E)$ is $21 \pm 6 \mathrm{keV}$

The value of kT for comparison is 1.3 keV

 reation iKrias The lase ineough the desp poing hepresents a theoretical deseription of the arour merig."

The lifetime of the species I against the reaction $\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{L}$ is given by

$$
\tau_{j k}(I)=\left[\frac{1}{Y_{I}} \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\right]_{j k}^{-1}=\left(\rho Y_{j} \lambda_{j k}(I)\right)^{-1}
$$

E.g., the lifetime of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ against the reaction ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma){ }^{15} \mathrm{O}$ is

$$
\tau_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right)=\left(\rho Y_{p} \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

A Gaussian integral is analytic $\int^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} d x=\sqrt{\pi}$ and so
$N_{A}\langle\sigma v\rangle=\frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{\hat{A} Z_{I} Z_{j}} S\left(E_{0}\right) \tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \mathrm{cm}^{3} /$ (Mole s)
where $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right)$ is measured in MeV barns. If we define

$$
\lambda_{j k}=N_{A}\left\langle\sigma_{j k} v\right\rangle
$$

then a term in the rate equation for species I such as $\mathrm{Y}_{j} \rho \lambda_{j k}$ has units $\left(\frac{\text { Mole }}{g m}\right)\left(\frac{g m}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}{\text { Mole } s}\right)=s^{-1}$

Different people use different conventions for $\lambda$ which sometimes do or do not include $\rho$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$. This defines mine.
Note that $\tau$ here is

$$
\tau=\frac{3 E_{0}}{k T}=4.248\left(\frac{Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A}}{T_{9}}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

Adelberger et al, RMP, (1998(
TABLE I. Best-estimate low-energy nuclear reaction crosssection factors and their estimated $1 \sigma$ errors.

|  | $S(0)$ <br> $(\mathrm{keV} \mathrm{b})$ | $S^{\prime}(0)$ <br> $(\mathrm{b})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reaction | Eq. $(19)$ |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(p, e^{+} \nu_{e}\right)^{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $4.00\left(1 \pm 0.007_{-0.011}^{+0.020}\right) \times 10^{-22}$ | $4.48 \times 10^{-24}$ |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(p e^{-}, \nu_{e}\right)^{2} \mathrm{H}$ | E. |  |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, 2 p\right)^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $(5.4 \pm 0.4)^{\mathrm{a}} \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}(\alpha, \gamma)^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ | $0.53 \pm 0.05$ | $-3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left(p, e^{+} \nu_{e}\right){ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-20}$ |  |
| ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}\left(e^{-}, \nu_{e}\right)^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ | Eq. $(26)$ |  |
| ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}(p, \gamma)^{8} \mathrm{~B}$ | $0.019_{-0.002}^{+0.004}$ | See Sec. VIII.A |
| ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(p, \gamma)^{15} \mathrm{O}$ | $3.5_{-1.6}^{+0.4}$ | See Sec. IX.A.5 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \propto f(T) & =\tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \quad \tau=\frac{A}{T^{1 / 3}} \quad \frac{d \tau}{d T}=-\frac{A}{3 T^{4 / 3}}=-\frac{\tau}{3 T} \\
\frac{d f}{d T} & =2 \tau e^{-\tau} \frac{d \tau}{d T}-\tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \frac{d \tau}{d T} \\
\frac{T}{f}\left(\frac{d f}{d T}\right) & =\frac{T}{\tau^{2} e^{-\tau}}\left(2 \tau e^{-\tau}\right)\left(-\frac{\tau}{3 T}\right)-\frac{T}{\tau^{2} e^{-\tau}}\left(\tau^{2} e^{-\tau}\right)\left(-\frac{\tau}{3 T}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{d \ln f}{d \ln T}\right)=\frac{\tau-2}{3} \\
\therefore f & \propto T^{n} \quad n=\frac{\tau-2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Resonant reactions

The previous discussion was predicated upon the assumption that the nuclear structure factor X was slowly varying with energy. This led to an S-factor that was also nearly constant.

This turns out to be the case when the excited state structure of the nucleus can be ignored. But suppose $I$ and $j$ come together at the Gamow energy to produce $L$ in an excited state that has just the right spin, angular momentum, and parity to closely resemble I +j .

Such reactions are called "resonant" and the cross section in a narrow energy range can be many of orders of magnitude larger than if the resonant state were not there. See appendix to these notes.

For example, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ at $8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau & =4.248\left(\frac{6^{2} 6^{2} \frac{12 \cdot 12}{12+12}}{0.8}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
& =90.66 \\
n & =\frac{90.66-2}{3}=29.5 \\
\mathrm{p} & +\mathrm{p} \text { at } 1.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~K} \\
\tau & =4.248\left(\frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 1}{1+1}}{0.015}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
& =13.67 \\
\mathrm{n} & =\frac{13.67-2}{3}=3.89
\end{aligned}
$$

## Specific Reactions in Hydrogen and Helium Burning

- pp1 chain
- pp2 and pp3 chains
- CNO cycle
- 3-alpha and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16} \mathrm{O}$


## Proton-proton reaction (the basic first step for pp1, 2, 3):

$$
p\left(p, e^{+} v_{e}\right)^{2} \mathrm{H} \quad(+0.42 \mathrm{MeV})
$$

This cross section is far too small $\left(\sim 10^{-47} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right.$ at 1 MeV$)$ to measure in the laboratory but it does have a nearly constant, calculable S-factor.

Two stages:

- Temporarily form diproton. The initial wave function is the same as for proton scattering. Initially the diproton must have its protons spins counter alligned because can' t have protons in identical states. Unbound.
- Diproton experiences a weak interaction (with a spin flip) to make deuteron with the neutron and proton alligned. Higher this is more tightly bound than the counter alligned state


So now we have protons, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$, and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$. Next

$$
{ }^{2} H+p \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}+\gamma+5.49 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

no weak interaction needed, or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{3} \mathrm{He}$. This may be followed by either fast

$$
a)^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 p+12.86 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

or $\quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{Li}$ is unbound
b) ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}+\gamma+1.59 \mathrm{MeV}$

H. A. Bethe (b 1906) Nobel 1967

Lifetimes against various reactions

| Reaction | Lifetime (years) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{e}^{+} \nu\right)^{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{9}$ |
| $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)\right)^{3} \mathrm{He}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, 2 \mathrm{p}\right)^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{5}$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}, \gamma\right){ }^{7} \mathrm{~B}$ | $9.7 \times 10^{5}$ |

For $50 \% \mathrm{H}, 50 \%$ He at a density of $100 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and a temperature of 15 million K

The time between proton collisions, for a given proton, is about a hundred millionth of a second.

## $\mathbf{q}_{\text {weak }}$

Basically important only in hydrogen burning
$\mathrm{q}_{\text {week }}$ accounts for the neutron proton mass
difference, the creation and annihilation of positrons,
and energy lost to neutrinos

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\text {weak }} & =\Delta Z *\left[(n-p \text { mass difference })+m_{e} c^{2}\right]-q_{v} \\
& =\Delta Z *[1.294 \mathrm{MeV}-0.511 \mathrm{MeV}]-q_{v} \\
& =\Delta Z *[0.783 \mathrm{MeV}]-q_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

For example in the pp1 process 4 protons urn into 2 neutons and 2 protons so $\Delta Z=-2$. In addition two neutrinos are emitted with an average of 0.26 MeV each, so $q_{v}=0.52 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\text {weak }}=-2.09 \mathrm{MeV}$

## Nuclear energy yield

How much energy is produced and how fast is it liberated. We could just add up the energies of each reaction and divide that number by the time scale for the slowest reaction. Instead let's solve the general problem (make things easier in the future).

We have a set of nuclei $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}$ that is transformed into a new set $\left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\delta \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ ). Each nucleus has binding energy $\mathrm{BE}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in MeV .

$$
q_{\text {nuc }}=1.602 \times 10^{-6} N_{A}\left[\sum\left(\delta Y_{i}\right)\left(B E_{i}-q_{\text {weak }}\right)\right] \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{gm}
$$

Here $1.602 \times 10^{-6}$ is the conversion factor from MeV (which are the units of BE ) to erg. $\mathrm{q}_{\text {weak }}$ is a correction term that is only non zero for weak interactions. It accounts for neutrinos lost and mass changes when protons and electrons turn to neutrons or vice versa.

## Example: Hydogen burning

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a) } 100 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad \delta \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=-1 \quad \mathrm{BE}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=0 \\
& \delta \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathrm{BE}\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\right)=28.296 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

From previous page $\mathrm{q}_{\text {weak }}=-2.09$

$$
\mathrm{q}=9.65 \times 10^{17}\left(\frac{28.296-2.09}{4}\right)=6.32 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}
$$

b) $70 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} ; 30 \%{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} \quad \delta \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=-0.7$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta Y\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\right)=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{0.3}{4} \\
\mathrm{q}=9.65 \times 10^{17}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{0.3}{4}\right)(28.296-2.09)=4.42 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

```
\(\mathrm{BE}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)=92.162 \mathrm{MeV}\)
\(\operatorname{BE}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)=127.619 \quad\) values for helium burning
\(\mathrm{BE}(\alpha)=28.296 \mathrm{MeV}\)
\(\mathrm{q}_{\text {weak }}=0\)
```

A related quantity, the energy generation rate is given by

$$
\varepsilon_{n u c}=9.65 \times 10^{17} \sum \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\left(B E_{i}-q_{\text {weak }}\right) \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}
$$

Additional nuclear physics needed for post-helium burning stages will be covered when we treat the advanced burning stages of massive stars later in the course.

Previously we showed

$$
\lambda=\frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{\hat{A} Z_{I} Z_{j}} S\left(E_{0}\right) \tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \mathrm{cm}^{3} /(\text { Mole } \mathrm{s})
$$

for the pp reaction $\hat{A}=1 * 1 /(1+1)=1 / 2$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau=4.248\left(\frac{Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A}}{T_{9}}\right)^{1 / 3}=4.248\left(\frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 / 2}{T_{9}}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
=3.37 T_{9}^{-1 / 3}=33.7 T_{6}^{-1 / 3} \\
S_{0}=4.0 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{keV} \mathrm{~b}=4.0 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{~b} \\
\lambda_{p p}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{1 / 2} 4.0 \times 10^{-25}\left(\frac{33.7}{T_{6}^{1 / 3}}\right)^{2} e^{-33.7 / T_{6}^{1 / 3}} \\
=1.97 \times 10^{-13} T_{6}^{-2 / 3} e^{-33.7 / T_{6}^{1 / 3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Compare with Clayton 5.11

For hydrogen burning by the pp1 process
We could include all the reactions and evaluate $\frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}$ for each species but it is much easier to just realize that the net result is that every time 4 protons are burned by the reaction $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{e}^{+} v\right)$, one ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ appears. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{4} H e\right)}{d t}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{d Y\left({ }^{1} H\right)}{d t}=\frac{1}{4} 2 \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{1} H\right) \lambda_{p p}=\frac{1}{2} \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{1} H\right) \lambda_{p p} \\
& \varepsilon_{n u c}=9.65 \times 10^{17} \sum \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\left(B E_{i}-q_{\text {weak }}\right) \quad \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \quad=\frac{9.65 \times 10^{17}}{2} \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{1} H\right)(26.2) \lambda_{p p} \\
& \quad=1.26 \times 10^{19} \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{1} H\right) \lambda_{p p} \quad \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Putting it together

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & =1.26 \times 10^{19} \cdot 1.97 \times 10^{-13} \rho Y_{H}^{2} T_{6}^{-2 / 3} e^{-33.7 / T_{6}^{1 / 3}} \quad \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\text { e.g. } \rho & =150 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \mathrm{~T}_{6}=15 \quad Y_{H}=0.35 \\
\varepsilon & =\left(2.48 \times 10^{6}\right)(150)(0.35)^{2}(15)^{-2 / 3} \mathrm{e}^{-13.66} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& =8.7 \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given our previous discussion this can also be written
as a power law of the temperature with $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\tau-2}{3}$

$$
\tau=33.7 /(15)^{1 / 3}=13.66 \Rightarrow n=3.89
$$

$$
\text { So } \quad \varepsilon_{p p} \approx 8.7\left(\frac{\rho}{150 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}}\right)\left(\frac{Y_{H}}{0.35}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{T}{15 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}}\right)^{3.89} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Reality checks

1) Energy budget for the sun

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\odot}= & L_{\odot} \tau_{M S}(\odot) \approx\left(4 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)\left(10^{10} \mathrm{yr}\right)\left(3.16 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{yr}\right) \\
& \sim 1 \times 10^{51} \mathrm{erg} \\
\mathrm{Q}_{n u c} & \approx\left(4.4 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-}\right)(0.1)\left(M_{\odot}\right) \\
& =\left(4.4 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)\left(2 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~g}\right)=9 \times 10^{50} \mathrm{erg}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Luminosity of the sun
$\mathrm{L}_{\odot}=3.84 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
$\varepsilon_{p p} \cdot\left(0.1 M_{\odot}\right) \sim 9 \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(2 \times 10^{32} \mathrm{~g}\right) \sim 2 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Could adjust $\mathrm{Y}_{H}, T, \rho$, or fraction burning to get better agreement

3) Lifetime

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{M S} \sim \tau_{p p}=\left(Y_{H} / \frac{d Y_{H}}{d t}\right)^{-1} \\
&=\left(\frac{Y_{H}}{\rho Y_{H}^{2} \lambda_{p p}}\right)^{-1} \\
& \text { At } \begin{aligned}
\rho= & 150 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ; \mathrm{T}_{6}=15 ; Y_{H}=0.7 \\
\tau_{M S} & \sim\left(\left(2 \times 10^{-13}\right)(150)(0.7)(0.164)\left(1.1 \times 10^{-6}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(3.8 \times 10^{-18}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~s}=8 \text { billion years }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Neutrino Energies

| Species | Average energy | Maximum energy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p+p | 0.267 MeV | 0.420 MeV |  |
| p |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ | 0.383 MeV | 0.383 MeV | $10 \%$ |
|  | 0.861 | 0.861 | $90 \%$ |
| 8B | 6.735 MeV |  | 15 MeV |

In the case of ${ }^{8} B$ and $p+p$, the energy is shared with a positron hence there is a spread. For ${ }^{7}$ Be the electron capture goes to two particular states in ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ and the neutrino has only two energies


The slowest reaction is ${ }^{14} N(p, \gamma)^{15} \mathrm{O}$ so for purposes of energy generation the rate equations can be approximately be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d Y_{p}}{d t}=-4 Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) Y_{p} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y_{\alpha}}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) Y_{p} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) \approx \frac{1}{14}\left(X_{C}+X_{N}+X_{o}\right) \approx \frac{Z}{14}$
One still needs to subtract off the energy carried away by neutrinos and adjust for $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p}$ mass differences

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\text {weak }} & =\Delta Z *[0.783 \mathrm{MeV}]+q_{v}=(2)(0.783)+1.70 \\
& =3.26 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

Detail

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rate equations: } \\
& \left.\frac{d Y\left({ }^{12} C\right)}{d t}=-Y\left({ }^{12} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}{ }^{(12} C\right)+Y\left({ }^{15} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \alpha}\left({ }^{15} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{13} N\right)}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{(22} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{12} C\right)-Y\left({ }^{13} N\right) \lambda_{e^{+}}\left({ }^{13} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{13} C\right)}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{(3} N\right) \lambda_{e^{+}}\left({ }^{13} N\right)-Y\left({ }^{13} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{\rho \gamma}\left({ }^{13} C\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{14} N\right)}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{13} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{13} C\right)-Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{(14} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{15} O\right)}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{(44} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} C\right)-Y\left({ }^{15} O\right) \lambda_{e^{+}}\left({ }^{13} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{15} N\right)}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{15} O\right) \lambda_{e^{+}}\left({ }^{13} N\right)-Y\left({ }^{15} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \alpha}\left({ }^{15} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y_{p}}{d t}=-Y\left({ }^{12} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{12} C\right)-Y\left({ }^{(3} C\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{13} C\right) \\
& -Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{(44} N\right)-Y\left({ }^{15} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{p \alpha}\left({ }^{15} N\right) \\
& \frac{d Y_{\alpha}}{d t}=Y\left({ }^{15} N\right) Y_{\rho} \rho \lambda_{\rho \alpha}\left({ }^{15} N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

What is $\lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right) ?$
The S factor for ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{15} \mathrm{O}$ is $1.64 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{MeV}$ barns (including a recent downward revision)

$$
\lambda=\frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{\hat{A} Z_{l} Z_{j}} S\left(E_{0}\right) \tau^{2} e^{-\tau} \mathrm{cm}^{3} /(\text { Mole s })
$$

for the ${ }^{14} N(p, \gamma)$ reaction $\hat{A}=1 * 14 /(14+1)=14 / 15$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau=4.248\left(\frac{Z_{I}^{2} Z_{j}^{2} \hat{A}}{T_{9}}\right)^{1 / 3}=4.248\left(\frac{1 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 14 / 15}{T_{9}}\right)^{1 / 3} \\
=15.19 T_{9}^{-1 / 3} \\
\lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right)=\frac{4.34 \times 10^{8}}{7(14 / 15)}\left(1.64 \times 10^{-3}\right)(15.19)^{2} T_{9}^{-2 / 3} e^{-15.19 / T_{9}^{1 / 3}} \\
=2.51 \times 10^{7} T_{9}^{-2 / 3} e^{-15.19 / T_{9}^{1 / 3}} \\
=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{d Y_{\alpha}}{d t} & =Y\left({ }^{14} N\right) Y_{p} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right) \\
& \approx \frac{Y_{p} Z}{14} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{14} N\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { At } \mathrm{T}_{9}=20, \tau=56.0, n=\frac{\tau-2}{3}=18 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\lambda_{p r}\left({ }^{14} N\right) & \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-16}\left(\frac{T}{20 M K}\right)^{18} \\
\frac{d Y_{\alpha}}{d t} & \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \rho Y_{p} Z\left(\frac{T}{20 M K}\right)^{18} \\
\varepsilon_{\text {nuc }}= & 9.65 \times 10^{17} \sum \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\left(B E_{i}-q_{\text {weak }}\right) \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} \\
= & 11.7 \rho Y_{p} Z\left(\frac{T}{20 M K}\right)^{18}(28.296-3.26) \\
\varepsilon_{C N O} & =293 \rho Y_{p} Z\left(\frac{T}{20 \mathrm{MK}}\right)^{18} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Ratio of CNO energy generation to pp

$\varepsilon_{C N O}=293 \rho Y_{p} Z\left(\frac{T}{20 M K}\right)^{18} \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \sec ^{-1}$
$\varepsilon_{p p}=1.26 \times 10^{19} \cdot 1.97 \times 10^{-13} \rho Y_{p}^{2} T_{6}^{-2 / 3} e^{-33.7 / T_{6}^{1 / 3}}$
$=1.36 \rho Y_{p}^{2}\left(\frac{T_{9}}{0.02}\right)^{3.89} \operatorname{erg~g}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$
The ratio $=1$ when

$$
\frac{293}{1.36}\left(\frac{Z}{Y_{p}}\right)\left(\frac{T_{9}}{0.02}\right)^{14}=1
$$

and if $Z=0.015, Y_{p}=0.7$

$$
4.62\left(\frac{T_{9}}{0.02}\right)^{14}=1 \quad T_{9}=0.0179
$$

CNO will dominate above about 18 MK

## CNO Tri-cycle



Note nucleosynthetic implications:
Synthesis of some ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{14,15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{17,18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$

## Helium Burning

Helium burning is a two-stage nuclear process in which two alpha-particles temporarily form the ground state of unstable ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}^{*}$. Occasionally the ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}^{*}$ captures a third alpha-particle before it flies apart. No weak interactions are involved.


The current value is due to Caughlan and Fowler (1988) using mesurements from Sam Austin

$$
\lambda_{3 \alpha}=2.79 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~T}_{9}^{-3} \exp \left(-4.403 / \mathrm{T}_{9}\right)
$$

Slight revisions to
$\Gamma_{\gamma}$ here
$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{T}_{9} & \frac{d \ln \lambda}{d \ln \mathrm{~T}} \\ 0.1 & 41 \\ 0.2 & 19 \\ 0.3 & 12\end{array}\right\}=\frac{4.403}{\mathrm{~T}_{9}}-3$

Unlike most reactions in astrophysics, the temperature dependence here is not determined by barrier penetration but by the Saha equation. In fact, at high temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{9}>1.5$ ) the rate saturates and actually begins to decline slowly as the resonance slips out of the Gamow window.

Helium burning 2 - the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, \gamma)$ rate


## Helium Burning

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{dY}}{\alpha} \\
& \mathrm{dt} \\
& =-3 \rho^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} / 6-\mathrm{Y}_{\alpha} \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right) \rho \lambda_{\alpha \gamma}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dY}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}}=\rho^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} / 6-\mathrm{Y}_{\alpha} \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right) \rho \lambda_{\alpha \gamma}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dY}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{Y}_{\alpha} \mathrm{Y}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right) \rho \lambda_{\alpha \gamma}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For binary reactions, $\lambda \equiv \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}\langle\sigma \nu\rangle$
For $\mathrm{Y}_{12}$ small or $\rho$ large

$$
\alpha \rightarrow{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}
$$

For $\mathrm{Y}_{12}$ large or $\rho$ small

$$
\alpha \rightarrow{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}
$$

## Energy Generation from Helium Burning



## Energy Generation from Helium Burning

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{n u c}=9.65 \times 10^{17} \sum \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\left(B E_{i}\right) \operatorname{erg~g} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \sec ^{-1} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dY}}{\alpha}{ }_{\mathrm{dt}}=-3 \rho^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} / 6 \quad \text { ignore }{ }^{12} C(\alpha, \gamma)^{16} O \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dY}\left({ }^{(12} \mathrm{C}\right)}{\mathrm{dt}}=\rho^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} / 6 \\
& \varepsilon_{n u c}=9.65 \times 10^{17} \sum \frac{d Y_{i}}{d t}\left(B E_{i}\right) \\
& =9.65 \times 10^{17} \rho^{2} Y_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} / 6[92.162-3(28.296)] \\
& =1.83 \times 10^{16} \rho^{2} X_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} \\
& =1.83 \times 10^{16} \rho^{2} X_{\alpha}^{3}\left(2.79 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~T}_{9}^{-3} \exp \left(-4.403 / \mathrm{T}_{9}\right)\right) \\
& \varepsilon_{3 \alpha}=5.11 \times 10^{8} \rho^{2} X_{\alpha}^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{9}^{-3} \exp \left(-4.403 / \mathrm{T}_{9}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { note typo in } \mathrm{GK} \\
\text { coeff } \mathrm{fff} \text { by } 100
\end{array} \\
& \text { coeff off by } 1000
\end{aligned}
$$



## Resonant Reactions

The resonances can be broad


Rate of reaction through a narrow resonance
Narrow means: $\quad \Gamma \ll \Delta E$
In this case, the resonance energy must be "near" the relevant energy range $\Delta E$ to contribute to the stellar reaction rate.

Recall:
and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
<\sigma v>=\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi \mu}} \frac{1}{(k T)^{3 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(E) E \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{kT}}} d E \\
\quad \downarrow \\
\sigma(E)=\pi \lambda^{2} \omega \frac{\Gamma_{1}(E) \Gamma_{2}(E)}{\left(E-E_{r}\right)^{2}+(\Gamma(E) / 2)^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Here $E_{r}$ is the energy of the resonance and the $\Gamma$ 's are the partial widths of the state to break up into $I+j, L+k$, etc.

Then one can carry out the integration analytically (Clayton 4-193) and finds:
For the contribution of a single narrow resonance to the stellar reaction rate:
$N_{A}<\sigma v>=1.54 \cdot 10^{11}\left(A T_{9}\right)^{-3 / 2} \omega \gamma[\mathrm{MeV}] \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-11.605 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}[\mathrm{MeV}]}{\mathrm{T}_{9}}} \frac{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\text { s mole }}$

The rate is entirely determined by the "resonance strength" $\omega \gamma$

$$
\omega \gamma=\frac{2 J_{r}+1}{\left(2 J_{j}+1\right)\left(2 J_{I}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma_{1} \Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}
$$

In general the reactions during the proton-proton cycles are non-resonant but the reactions for the CNO cycle and more advanced burning stages are resonant.

Light particle ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}, \alpha$ ): $\lambda_{j k} \equiv \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{A}}\left\langle\sigma_{j k} v\right\rangle$

$$
Y_{1} Y_{p} \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}(I) ; \quad Y_{1} Y_{n} \rho \lambda_{n \gamma}(I) ; \quad Y_{1} Y_{p} \rho \lambda_{p \alpha}(I)
$$

## Heavy Ion

$$
\frac{1}{6} Y_{\alpha}^{3} \lambda_{3 \alpha} ; \frac{1}{2} \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{12} C\right) \lambda_{12,12} ; \frac{1}{2} \rho Y^{2}\left({ }^{16} O\right) \lambda_{16,16}
$$

Weak interaction (beta decay, electron capture, positron emission)

$$
Y_{I} \lambda_{\beta}(I) ; \quad Y_{I} \lambda_{e c}(I) ; Y_{I} \lambda_{\beta}(I) \quad \lambda=\frac{\ln 2}{\tau_{1 / 2}}
$$

E.g., $\quad p p 1$ hydrogen burning:

$$
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{e}^{+} v\right)^{2} H(p, \gamma)^{3} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, 2 p\right)^{4} \mathrm{He}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d Y_{p}}{d t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_{p}^{2} \rho \lambda_{p p}+2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y^{2}\left({ }^{3} H e\right) \rho \lambda_{3,3} \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{2} H\right)}{d t}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y_{p}^{2} \rho \lambda_{p p}-Y Y_{p} Y\left({ }^{2} H\right) \rho \lambda_{p \gamma}\left({ }^{2} H\right) \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{3} H e\right)}{d t}=Y_{p} Y\left({ }^{2} H\right) \rho \lambda_{p r}\left({ }^{2} H\right)-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y^{2}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\right) \rho \lambda_{3,3} \\
& \frac{d Y\left({ }^{4} H e\right)}{d t}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Y^{2}\left({ }^{3} H e\right) \rho \lambda_{3,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The rate factors $\lambda$ contain the temperature dependence of the rate equations. The density dependence has been separated out.

