## Summary of energy generation rates (Lecture 10)

# Lecture 12: Overview of Stellar Evolution

Glatzmaier and Krumholtz 13 Prialnik 7 Pols 8

$$\varepsilon_{_{pp}} = 2.48 \times 10^6 \ \rho Y_{_H}^2 T_6^{_-2/3} e^{-33.7/T_6^{_1/3}} \ \text{erg g}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1}$$

$$\varepsilon_{CNO} = 4.33 \times 10^{25} Y_{\rho} Z \rho T_{9}^{-2/3} e^{-15.19/T_{9}^{1/3}} \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$
  
(smaller S-factor than in texts)

 $\varepsilon_{3\alpha} = 5.11 \times 10^8 \rho^2 X_{\alpha}^3 T_9^{-3} \exp(-4.403 / T_9) \text{ erg } g^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

Consider stars supported mostly by ideal gas pressure contracting and obeying the Virial theorem.

When not powered by nuclear reactions, such stars radiate their gravitational binding energy on a Kelvin Helmholtz time scale. This is equivalent to radiating away the internal energy of the star. This requires a gravitational energy generation rate in erg  $g s^{-1}$  of

$$\varepsilon_{grav} = \frac{3P}{2\rho\tau_{KH}} = \frac{N_A k}{\mu} \frac{\overline{T}}{\tau_{KH}}$$

For the sun with  $\overline{T} \sim 10^7$  K,  $\tau_{KH} \sim 30 My$ , this gives  $\varepsilon_{grav} \sim 1 \text{ erg g s}^{-1}$  (*GK* say ~10) A similar number can be obtained from simply dividing the present luminosity of the sun by its mass,  $L_{\odot} / M_{\odot} \approx 1.9$  erg g s<sup>-1</sup>. From previous discussions, e.g., of Eddington's standard model, note that this answer is independent of whether the source of the star's energy is nuclear or gravity.

Continuing to use Eddington's standard model, which also has  $L \propto M^3$  suggests that, as a function of mass,

$$\varepsilon_{grav} \sim \frac{L}{M} \sim 2 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \ erg \ g \ s^{-1}$$

for stars near the main sequence.

"Ignition" on the main sequence will happen (can be defined by the point) when  $\varepsilon_{nuc} = \varepsilon_{grav}$  for the whole star. In fact, because the nuclear reactions are very temperature sensitive, nuclear energy generation goes on in a much smaller fraction of the star's mass, which we shall call f ~ 0.1. Then

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \varepsilon_{nuc} \right\}_{whole \, star} &\approx \left\langle \varepsilon_{grav} \right\rangle_{whole \, star} \Rightarrow \\ \varepsilon_{nuc, center} &\approx \varepsilon_{grav} \, / \, f \approx 10 \, \varepsilon_{grav} \\ &\approx 20 \bigg( \frac{0.1}{f} \bigg) \bigg( \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \bigg)^2 \, \text{erg g}^{-1} \, \text{s}^{-1} \\ & (\text{recovering GK for 1M}_{\odot}) \end{split}$$

Putting  $\varepsilon_{_{pp}}$  or (for T> 18MK)  $\varepsilon_{_{CNO}}$  equal to the value required above gives the ignition line for main sequence (hydrogen burning) stars.

#### Helium burning

As a result helium ignition requires substantially higher energy generation rates at ignition. Even without this increase, the temperature required for helium ignition would be much hotter because of the larger Coulomb barriers involved and the smaller energy generation rate. Near ignition for helium burning, the 0.5  $M_{\odot}$  helium core has

$$\varepsilon_{grav} \sim \frac{L}{M} \sim \frac{50 L_{\odot}}{0.5 M_{\odot}} \left(\frac{M}{0.5 M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{nuc,cen} \sim 1000 \left(\frac{L_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{M}{0.5M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{0.1}{f}\right) \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$
$$\sim 2000 \left(\frac{M}{0.5M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{0.1}{f}\right) \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \qquad \text{since } \frac{L_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}} \approx 2 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

#### Helium burning

Continuing to consider non-degenerate stars (the helium flash must be considered separately), we can, as in your homework problem, also treat the helium core as a separate star with smaller mass.

Again using Eddington's model as a guide, the luminosity increases, for a given M, as  $\mu^4$ , which implies an increase of  $(1.34/0.61)^4 = 23$ . In fact, since a) the central regions of helium burning stars are convective and b) the surface opacity is less, the luminosity increase is even greater. Typical horizontal branch stars have have helium core luminosities ~ 30 - 50 times solar and core masses about 0.5 solar masses. More massive stars that do not become HB stars have more massive helium cores and are even more luminous with L again going roughly as the cube of the helium core mass.

#### Maximum Temperature Achieved in a Contracting Core

We found for all polytropes a relation

$$P_{c} = C_{n} G M^{2/3} \rho_{c}^{4/3}$$

with  $C_n$  a weak function of n, equal to  $C_n = 0.478$ for n = 3/2. This polytropic index is appropriate for both non-relativistic degeneracy and fully convective stars supported by ideal gas. For ideal gas the central temperature thus depends on the central density as

$$T_{c} = \frac{C_{n}G\mu M^{2/3}}{N_{A}k}\rho_{c}^{1/3}$$

## Maximum Temperature Achieved in a Contracting Core

The temperature will continue to rise until the gas becomes degenerate (if it ever does). Then the pressure if it becomes degenerate will be given by

$$P_{NR \text{ deg}} = K_{NR} (\rho Y_e)^{5/3} \qquad K_{NR} = 1.004 \times 10^{13} \text{ dyne cm}^{-2}$$
  
which also =  $C_{3/2} G M^{2/3} \rho_c^{4/3}$  so

$$\rho_{c}^{1/3} = \frac{C_{3/2}GM^{2/3}}{K_{NR}Y_{e}^{5/3}} \Longrightarrow \rho_{c} = \left(\frac{C_{3/2}G}{K_{NR}}\right)^{3} \frac{M^{2}}{Y_{e}^{5}}$$

## Minimum mass star for H ignition

Set

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{_{\rho\rho}} = & 2.48 \times 10^6 \ \rho Y_{_H}^2 T_6^{_{-2/3}} e^{-33.7/T_6^{_H3}} \ \text{erg g}^{_{-1}} \ \text{s}^{_{-1}} \\ \text{evaluated at } T_{_{\text{max}}} = & 5.7 \times 10^7 \ \left(\frac{M}{M_{_{\odot}}}\right)^{_{4/3}} K \end{split}$$

equal to

$$\varepsilon_{nuc,center} \approx 20 \left( \frac{0.1}{f} \right) \left( \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right)^2 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ and solve for M. Probably}$$

easiest to solve by iteration. Pick M =  $0.2M_{\odot}$  and solve for T<sub>max</sub> to get  $6.7 \times 10^6$  K which gives (at Y<sub>H</sub> =  $0.7, \rho = 100 \, g \, cm^3$ )

$$\varepsilon_{pp} = 0.6. \ \varepsilon_{nuc,center} \approx 20(.04) = 0.8$$
, a pretty close match.

Empirically better results are obtained with

$$= \frac{C_{3/2}^2 G^2 \mu}{2N_A k K_{NR} Y_e^{5/3}} M^{4/3} = 11.4 \times 10^7 \left(\frac{\mu}{0.6}\right) \left(\frac{0.875}{Y_e}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{4/3}$$

Then 0.1 M<sub>o</sub> gives  $5.3 \times 10^6$  K,  $\varepsilon_{po} = 0.16$ .  $\varepsilon_{nuc,center} \approx 20(.01) = 0.2$ ,

## Maximum Temperature Achieved in a Contracting Core

The maximum temperature will be reached, at the point when the gas first becomes degenerate and the two pressures are comparable, that is

$$\mathsf{P}_{c,total} = \mathsf{C}_{3/2} \mathsf{G} \mathsf{M}^{2/3} \rho_{c}^{4/3} = 2 \left( \frac{\rho_{c} \mathcal{T}_{c} \mathcal{N}_{A} \kappa}{\mu} \right) = 2 \left( \kappa_{NR} \left( \rho_{c} \mathsf{Y}_{e} \right)^{5/3} \right)$$

from which it follows

$$T_{c} = \frac{C_{3/2}G\mu M^{2/3}}{2N_{A}k} \rho_{c}^{1/3} = \frac{C_{3/2}G\mu M^{2/3}}{2N_{A}k} \frac{C_{3/2}GM^{2/3}}{2K_{NR}Y_{e}^{5/3}}$$
$$= \frac{C_{3/2}^{2}G^{2}\mu}{4N_{A}KK_{NR}Y_{e}^{5/3}} M^{4/3} \qquad (Pols \ 8.5)$$
$$= 5.7 \times 10^{7} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{4/3} K \qquad \text{for } \mu = 0.6, \ Y_{e} = 0.875$$
$$= 3.2 \times 10^{8} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{4/3} K \qquad \text{for } \mu = 1.33, \ Y_{e} = 0.50 \ (helium)$$

Minimum mass star for He ignition

$$\varepsilon_{3\alpha} = 5.11 \times 10^8 \rho^2 X_{\alpha}^3 T_9^{-3} \exp(-4.403 / T_9) \text{ erg } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$
  
evaluated at  $T_{\text{max}} = 3.2 \times 10^8 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{4/3} K$ 

equal to

$$\varepsilon_{nuc,center} \approx 2000 \left(\frac{M}{0.5M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{0.1}{f}\right) \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

Try ρ=1.×10<sup>4</sup> X<sub>α</sub> =1., M =0.45 $M_{\odot}$ , 0.50 $M_{\odot}$  ⇒ T = 1.27,1.10×10<sup>8</sup> K  $ε_{3α}$  = 22,000 , 160.

So in between 0.45 and 0.50  $M_{\odot}$  even allowing for uncertainty in the density.The answer is close to right because of the high power of temperature which  $3\alpha$  depends on for such low T (T<sup>41</sup> at 1.0 x 10<sup>8</sup> K)

# **Beyond Helium Burning**

Following the same approach it is not difficult to write down approximate energy generation rate formulae for carbon and oxygen burning. Silicon burning is more complicated. We shall discuss these later, but defer them for now since neutrino losses by the pair process play a dominant role there (Pols 6.5)

Setting neutrino losses equal to nuclear energy generation we will later determine that the heavy fuels burn at a nearly constant temperature (because of the huge temperature sensitivity. The densities vary.

Fuel	Temperature (10 <sup>9</sup> K)	Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )		
Carbon	0.8	2 x 10 <sup>5</sup>		
Oxygen	1.8	2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>		
Silicon	3.2	2 x 10 <sup>7</sup>		



Temperature (Billions K)





Woosley, Heger, and Weaver (RMP, 2002)







**Figure 8.2.** The equation of state in the log  $T_c - \log \rho_c$  plane (left panel), with approximate boundaries between regions where radiation pressure, ideal gas pressure, non-relativistic electron degeneracy and extremely relativistic electron degeneracy dominate, for a composition of X = 0.7 and Z = 0.02. In the right panel, schematic evolution tracks for contracting stars of  $0.1 - 100 M_{\odot}$  have been added.



Table 8.1. Characteristics of subsequent gravitational contraction and nuclear burning stages. Column (3) gives the total gravitational energy emitted per nucleon since the beginning, and column (5) the total nuclear energy emitted per nucleon since the beginning. Column (6) gives the minimum mass required to ignite a certain burning stage (column 4). The last two columns give the fraction of energy emitted as photons and neutrinos, respectively.

phase	$T (10^{6} \mathrm{K})$	total $E_{\rm gr}/{\rm n}$	main reactions	total $E_{\rm nuc}/n$	$M_{\min}$	y (%)	v(%)
grav.	$0 \rightarrow 10$	$\sim 1 \text{ keV/n}$	201 - 01			100	
nucl.	$10 \rightarrow 30$		$^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He}$	6.7 MeV/n	$0.08 M_{\odot}$	~95	~5
grav.	$30 \rightarrow 100$	$\sim 10 \text{ keV/n}$				100	
nucl.	$100 \rightarrow 300$		${}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{12}\text{C}, {}^{16}\text{O}$	$\approx 7.4  MeV/n$	$0.3 M_{\odot}$	~100	~0
grav.	$300 \rightarrow 700$	$\sim 100 \text{ keV/n}$				~50	~50
nucl.	$700 \rightarrow 1000$		${}^{12}C \rightarrow Mg, Ne$	$\approx 7.7  MeV/n$	$1.1 M_{\odot}$	~0	~100
grav.	$1000 \rightarrow 1500$	~ 150 keV/n					~100
nucl.	$1500 \rightarrow 2000$		<sup>16</sup> O → S, Si	$\approx 8.0  MeV/n$	$1.4 M_{\odot}$		~100
grav.	$2000 \rightarrow 5000$	~ 400 keV/n	$\mathrm{Si} \to \ldots \to \mathrm{Fe}$	$\approx 8.4  MeV/n$	222233333		~100