






*Hydrogen Burning  
in More  
Massive Stars  
and The Sun*


<http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/astropix.html>




## THE CNO CYCLE



\* slowest




Putting it all together, subtracting off the 1.71 MeV carried away by the neutrinos and adding the 2.04 MeV from positron annihilation



(Again  $\sim 6 \times 10^{18} \text{ erg/gm}$ )

The  $^{12}\text{C}$  is a catalyst. It is not used up but makes the series of reactions possible. Note however, nucleosynthetic aspects.



## CNO CYCLE (Shorthand)



nb.  $\alpha \equiv {}^4\text{He}$

## CNO CYCLE vs PP 1


$$\epsilon_{CNO} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{-4} \rho X_{CNO} X_H (T/10^7)^{20} \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

where  $X_{CNO}$  is the mass fraction of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen combined. This is based on the slowest reaction,  $^{14}\text{N}(\text{p},\gamma)^{15}\text{O}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\epsilon_{CNO}}{\epsilon_{pp}} &= \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-4}}{0.076} \left( \frac{X_{CNO}}{X_H} \right) (T/10^7)^{20-4} \\ &= (4.5 \times 10^{-3} (0.01/0.70)) (T/10^7)^{16} \\ &= 6.4 \times 10^{-5} (T/10^7)^{16}\end{aligned}$$

which is greater than unity for T greater than 18 million K.


This turns out to mean that the CNO cycle dominates in (Population I) stars of over  $2 M_\odot$ .




## More Massive Main Sequence Stars

	$10 M_{\odot}$	$25 M_{\odot}$
$X_H$	0.32	0.35
$L$	$3.74 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	$4.8 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$T_{eff}$	24,800 (B)	36,400 (O)
Age	16 My	4.7 My
$T_{center}$	$33.3 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$	$38.2 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$
$\rho_{center}$	$8.81 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	$3.67 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
$\tau_{MS}$	23 My	7.4 My
$R$	$2.73 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}$	$6.19 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}$
$P_{center}$	$3.13 \times 10^{16} \text{ dyne cm}^{-2}$	$1.92 \times 10^{16} \text{ dyne cm}^{-2}$
% $P_{radiation}$	10%	33%


Surfaces stable (radiative, not convective); inner roughly 1/3 of mass is convective.



$M < 0,3$




$0,3 - 1,5$




$M > 2.0$

*The Sun*




Convection zone ~2% of mass; ~25% of radius

<http://www3.kis.uni-freiburg.de/~pnb/granmovtext1.html>




June 5, 1993

Matter rises in the centers of the granules, cools then falls down. Typical granule size is 1300 km. Lifetimes are 8-15 minutes. Horizontal velocities are  $1 - 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The movie is 35 minutes in the life of the sun



Andrea Malagoli



*35 minutes    4680 +- 50 A filter*

*size of granules 250 - 2000 km*

*smallest size set by transmission  
through the earth's atmosphere*






Image of an active solar region taken on July 24, 2002 near the eastern limb of the Sun.

[http://www.boston.com/bigpicture/2008/10/the\\_sun.html](http://www.boston.com/bigpicture/2008/10/the_sun.html)

<http://www.uwgb.edu/dutchs/planets/sun.htm>




sunspots discovered by Galileo and Harriot 1610



A moderately large sunspot. The Earth would just cover the darkest area. The darkest area is called the “umbra”. The surrounding radial darkness the “penumbra”.

The umbra is cooler by about 1000 K than the surrounding star (note granulation). The magnetic field in the sunspot is typically 1000 - 4000 Gauss. (The Earth’s magnetic field is about 1 Gauss; the sun, on the average < 100 Gauss).






2

Detailed closeup of magnetic structures on the Sun's surface, seen in the H-alpha wavelength on August 22, 2003. (Swedish 1-m Solar Telescope (SST) operated by the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, Oddbjorn Engvold, Jun Elin Wiik, Luc Rouppe van der Voort) #

# Zeeman Effect




outside sunspot


inside sunspot

Breaks “degeneracy” in energy of states  
with different “spins”

Strength of the field can be measured by the  
degree of splitting






1.1 hours




about 25,000 km across

## **ANNUAL** Sunspot Numbers: 1700-1995




11 year cycle

SOHO uv  
images




The sun actually changes its luminosity a little ...

## Solar Cycle Variations



Variability in solar irradiance was undetectable prior to satellite observations starting in 1978

# Sunspot activity as a function of latitude




<http://science.msfc.nasa.gov/ssl/pad/solar/images/bfly.gif>

NASA/NSSTC/HATHAWAY 2004/02

Spots start to happen at high and low latitude and then migrate towards the equator


# Radial magnetic field



Hathaway/NASA/MSFC 2009/09

Complete cycle takes 22 years and the sun's magnetic field  
reverses every 11 years

## Periodic variation in sunspot number



Cause - Multipolar field variation (quadrupole instead of dipole)?  
Fluctuations at tacyocline?

# Little Ice Age



The exact cause of the solar cycle is not well understood, but It is known that the magnetic field of the sun (or at least its surface field) goes through reversals every ~11 years. The whole cycle takes 22 years.

In the “Babcock model”, the cycle is caused by the *differential rotation of the sun*. In three years the equatorial regions go round 5 additional revolutions compared with the polar ones. This winds up the field and creates stress that is released in part by surface activity (flares, sunspots, etc).

Rotation:

26.8 d at equator


31.8 d at 75° latitude

## Rotation:

26.8 d at equator


31.8 d at  $75^{\circ}$  latitude

This differential rotation exists only in the convection zone. The radiative core rotates rigidly.



# Babcock Model


Magnetic  
Field



# Babcock Model

Magnetic  
Field


Differential  
Rotation



# Babcock Model

Magnetic  
Field


Differential  
Rotation





# Babcock Model

Magnetic  
Field


Differential  
Rotation









**convection**



**convection**

**radiation**








The smallest loop is 3 times the size of the Earth

# Solar Flares




# Energy stored in magnetic field

$$E = B^2 / 8\pi \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$$


with B in Gauss

Can be released by "reconnection"




# Coronal Mass Ejection


18 Aug 1980: White Light




10:04




11:43




11:54



12:06




12:15



13:34

## Chromosphere



The chromosphere is a narrow layer above the photosphere. It has low density  $\sim 0.01\%$  that of the photosphere.


Initially the temperature and density both decline above the photosphere, but once 3000 K is reached the temperature rises again to 35,000 K  
It is heated by magnetic reconnection.

The chromosphere is a transition region from the photosphere to the corona.

Chromosphere	Corona
<b>~Hydrostatic equilibrium</b>	<b>Dynamic</b>
<b>Helium neutral</b>	<b>Helium ionized</b>
<b>Radiates energy effectively</b>	<b>Inefficient radiator</b>
<b>Emission lines</b>	<b>Emission lines</b>
<b>~10,000 K</b>	<b>~1,000,000 K</b>
<b>Reddish due to H<sub>α</sub></b>	<b>Highly ionized, uv, x-rays</b>

A dark, circular object, likely a planet or moon, is centered in the frame. It is set against a background that transitions from black at the edges to a bright, overexposed center. The central light source creates a radial gradient, with the colors transitioning from white to yellow and orange towards the center.


Corona



Coronal Loop

Picture at 141  
Angstroms

Temperature  
About  $10^6$  K




## Temperature

Center	15.7 million K
Photosphere	5800 K
Sunspot (umbra)	4240 K
Penumbra	5680 K
Chromosphere	5000 – 20000 K
Corona	0.5 to 3 million K

## Density

Mean density entire sun	$1.41 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Central density	$150 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Photosphere	$10^{-9} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Chromosphere	$10^{-12} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Corona	$10^{-16} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$
Air (earth)	$10^{-3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$


Mass loss rate  $\sim 10^{-13}$  solar masses/yr



[http://www.boston.com/bigpicture/2008/10/the\\_sun.html](http://www.boston.com/bigpicture/2008/10/the_sun.html)

<http://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov>

Solar wind colliding with a comet April 4, 2007. Captured by NASA satellite STEREO (one of 2)



# Effects on earth of solar cycle


- Radio communications and satellite health
- Ozone production and hence uv flux at earth
- Cosmic ray flux
- Aurorae



# Carrington Event 1859


[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar\\_storm\\_of\\_1859](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_storm_of_1859)

- Aurorae worldwide – as far south as Hawaii
- Telegraph disruptions. Sparks flying. Able to send messages without batteries connected
- Followed observation of a huge solar flare
- Estimated damage today 0.6 to 2.6 trillion dollars
- Similar event on July 23, 2012. Just missed the earth



*The last complete reversal was 980,000 yrs ago, but there was almost a reversal 200,000 years*

*Names are the rock strata where the field is measured*



The current magnetic field strength at the Earth's surface of 0.6 Gauss. But long term observations show that it is **DECREASING** at a rate of about 0.07 percent PER YEAR. This means that in 1500 years from now, it will only be about 35 percent as strong as it is today, and in 4000 years it will have a strength of practically zero.

<http://www.astronomycafe.net/qadir/q816.html>



Magnetic north is currently in northern Canada moving at 10 to 50 km/yr.


In a few decades it will reach Siberia

Solar flares vs solar prominences  
(the latter are bigger)

<http://science.howstuffworks.com/sun5.htm>

*The Solar  
Neutrino “Problem”*

# Hydrogen Burning on the Main Sequence




- pp1 85%
- pp2 15%
- pp3 0.02%

$$T_{\text{central}} = 15.7 \text{ Million K}$$


## Hydrogen Burning on the Main Sequence

In all cases  $4p \rightarrow ^4He + 2 \nu_e + 2 e^+$


pp1



pp2



pp3



## Neutrino Energies

	Species	Average energy	Maximum energy	
pp1	p+p	0.267 MeV	0.420 MeV	
pp2 and pp3	$^7\text{Be}$	0.383 MeV	0.383 MeV	10%
		0.861	0.861	90%
pp3	$^8\text{B}$	6.735 MeV	15 MeV	

*In the case of  $^8\text{B}$  and p+p, the energy is shared with a positron hence there is a spread. For  $^7\text{Be}$  the electron capture goes to two particular states in  $^7\text{Li}$  and the neutrino has only two energies*

# CAN WE DETECT THESE NEUTRINOS?

Since 1965, experiments have operated to search for and study the neutrinos produced by the sun - in order to:


- Test solar models
- Determine the central temperature of the sun

The flux of neutrinos from  ${}^8\text{B}$  is sensitive to  $T^{18}$

- Learn new particle physics


## DETECTORS

The chlorine experiment – Ray Davis – 1965 - ~1999



i.e., a neutron inside of  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  is turned into a proton by a weak interaction involving an incident neutrino





Homestake Gold Mine  
Lead, South Dakota

4850 feet down

tank 20 x 48 feet  
615 tons ( $3.8 \times 10^5$  liters)  
 $\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_4$

Threshold 0.814 MeV

Half-life  ${}^{37}\text{Ar}$  = 35.0 days

Neutrino sensitivity  
 ${}^7\text{Be}$ ,  ${}^8\text{B}$

$8 \times 10^{30}$  atoms of Cl

Nobel Prize 2002

## **DETECTORS**


The chlorine experiment – Ray Davis – 1965 - ~1999



The gallium experiments (GALLEX and SAGE) –  
1991 – 1997 and 1990 – 2001




# GALLEX



In Gran Sasso Tunnel – Italy

3300 m water equivalent

30.3 tons of gallium in  $\text{GaCl}_3 - \text{HCl}$  solution




Threshold 0.233 MeV

Sees pp,  $^7\text{Be}$ , and  $^8\text{B}$ .

*Calibrated using radioactive  $^{51}\text{Cr}$  neutrino source*

## DETECTORS

The chlorine experiment – Ray Davis – 1965 - ~1999



The gallium experiments (GALLEX and SAGE) –  
1991 – 1997 and 1990 – 2001




Kamiokande II - 1996 – 2001




Inelastic scattering of neutrinos on electrons in water. Threshold 9 MeV. Scattered electron emits characteristic radiation.

# Kamiokande II ( in Japanese Alps) 1996 - 2001




Depth 1 km  
Detector H<sub>2</sub>O  
Threshold 9 MeV  
Sensitive to <sup>8</sup>B  
20'' photomultiplier tubes  
Measure Cerenkov light  
 $2.3 \times 10^{32}$  electrons




Super-Kamiokande (Japan)

50,000 tons of water  
11,146 20" light detectors      0.6 mi  
down




# The Sun - 1999 (First picture in neutrinos)



*This “picture” was taken using data from the Kamiokande 2 neutrino observatory. It contains data from 504 nights (and days) of observation. The observatory is about a mile underground.*

*Each pixel is about a degree and the whole frame is  $90^\circ \times 90^\circ$ .*

## Sudbury Neutrino Observatory



6800 ft down

1000 tons  
 $D_2O$ .

20 m diameter

Sudbury,  
Canada

Threshold 5 MeV

Sees  ${}^8B$  decay  
but can see all  
three kinds  
of neutrinos


$\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$

# Particle physics aside:

Three Generations  
of Matter (Fermions)

	I	II	III
mass→	2.4 MeV	1.27 GeV	171.2 GeV
charge→	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
name→	up	charm	top
Quarks			
mass→	4.8 MeV	104 MeV	4.2 GeV
charge→	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
name→	down	strange	bottom
Leptons			
mass→	<2.2 eV	<0.17 MeV	<15.5 MeV
charge→	0	0	0
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
name→	electron neutrino	muon neutrino	tau neutrino
Bosons (Forces)			
mass→	0.511 MeV	105.7 MeV	1.777 GeV
charge→	-1	-1	-1
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
name→	electron	muon	tau
Bosons (Forces)			
mass→	91.2 GeV	0	0
charge→	0	0	1
spin→	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1
name→	Z weak force	W <sup>+</sup> weak force	W <sup>-</sup> weak force

emitted by pp-cycle  
cosmology limits  
the sum of the 3  
neutrino masses  
to < 1 eV




# Total Rates: Standard Model vs. Experiment

Bahcall–Pinsonneault 2000

Only sensitive to  $\nu_e$


Sensitive to  
 $\nu_e, \nu_\mu$ , and  $\nu_\tau$





<http://www.sno.phy.queensu.ca/sno/sno2.html> - interactions

Neutrino interactions with heavy water  $D_2O = {}^2H_2O$

Electron neutrino



All neutrinos ( $e$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau$ ) with energy above 2.2 MeV = BE( ${}^2H$ )



Results from SNO – 2002      (Sudbury turned off in 2006)

The flux of electron flavored neutrinos above 5 MeV  
(i.e., only pp3 =  ${}^8\text{B}$  neutrinos) is

$$1.76 \pm 0.1 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

But the flux of  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  flavored neutrinos is

$$3.41 \pm 0.64 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

Nobel Prize in Physics - 2002

Standard Solar Model  ${}^8\text{B}$  neutrinos

$$5.05 {}^{+1.01}_{-0.81} \times 10^6 \text{ neutrinos cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

The explanation of the solar neutrino “problem” is apparently *neutrino flavor mixing*.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutrino\\_oscillation](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neutrino_oscillation)

A flux that starts out as pure electron-”flavored” neutrinos at the middle of the sun ends up at the earth as a mixture of electron, muon, and tauon flavored neutrinos in comparable proportions.

The transformation occurs in the sun and is complete by the time the neutrinos leave the surface. The transformation affects the highest energy neutrinos the most (MSW-mixing).

Such mixing requires that the neutrino have a very small but non-zero rest mass. This is different than in the so called “standard model” where the neutrino is massless. The mass is less than about  $10^{-5}$  times that of the electron. (Also observed in earth’s atmosphere and neutrinos from reactors).

New physics.... (plus we measure the central temperature of the sun very accurately – 15.71 million K)